



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/960,769	09/21/2001	Steven Soria JR.	STL920000113US1	6311	
75	90 07/25/2005		EXAM	INER	
Paul D. Greele	Paul D. Greeley, Esq.			HONEYCUTT, KRISTINA B	
Ohlandt, Greele	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.	P.			
10th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Landmark Square		2178			
Stamford, CT	06901-2682			_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

45	بمسد.	
'/		

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/960,769	SORIA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kristina B. Honeycutt	2178	

	00,000,100	0011,7121712.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kristina B. Honeycutt	2178					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of		- 6 1					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RSI REPLT WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a			1 110 133463 101				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		gooted oldinis.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-43</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding independent claims, Applicants indicate that Sinander does not disclose using versions of data items or data that is stored in a database and accessed by users (p.9, para. 5). The Examiner disagrees because Sinander teaches storing a most recent version of a data item in a table and storing a version other than the most recent version in another table (p.2, lines 33-37; p.3, lines 16-25; p.4, lines 2-4; p.5, lines 9-14; p.8, lines 4-9; Figures 2b, 3, 4).							
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13.	lien	Blank					

CESAR PAULA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 071405